Tew

HE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Appl. No.: Axel ULLRICH et al

Applicant: 10/563,211

Filed: January 4, 2006

TC/A.U. : 1614

Examiner:

Docket No.: 2923-743 Customer No.: 6449 Confirmation No.: 6030

SUBMISSION OF INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Submitted herewith is a copy of the translation of the International Preliminary Examination Report.

In the event that any fees are due with this paper, please charge our Deposit Account No. 02-2135.

Respectfully submitted,

Robert B. Murray

Attorney for Applicant Registration No. 22,980

ROTHWELL, FIGG, ERNST & MANBECK, p.c.

Suite 800, 1425 K Street, N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20005 Telephone: (202)783-6040

RBM/cb

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

PCT

NOTIFICATION CONCERNING TRANSMITTAL OF COPY OF INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (CHAPTER I OF THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY)

(PCT Rule 44bis.1(c))

To:

Weickmann & Weickmann

2 5. JAN. 2006

WEISS, Wolfgang Weickmann & Weickmann Postfach 860 820 81635 München

ALLEMAGNE

Patentanwälte

Date of mailing (day/month/year) 19 January 2006 (19.01.2006)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 31063P WO

IMPORTANT NOTICE

International application No. PCT/EP2004/007329 International filing date (day/month/year) 05 July 2004 (05.07.2004)

Priority date (day/month/year) 04 July 2003 (04.07.2003)

Applicant

MAX-PLANCK-GESELLSCHAFT ZUR FÖRDERUNG DER WISSENSCHAFTEN E.V. et al

The International Bureau transmits herewith a copy of the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

> The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

Authorized officer

Ellen Moyse

Facsimile No.+41 22 338 89 75

Facsimile No.+41 22 740 14 35

Form PCT/IB/326 (January 2004)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 31063P WO	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below		
International application No. PCT/EP2004/007329	International filing date (day/month/year) 05 July 2004 (05.07.2004)	Priority date (day/month/year) 04 July 2003 (04.07.2003)		
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237				
Applicant MAX-PLANCK-GESELLSCHAFT ZUR FÖRDERUNG DER WISSENSCHAFTEN E.V.				

1.	This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis. 1(a).		
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 9 sheets, including this cover sheet. In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.		
3.	3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:		
	. Box No. I	Basis of the report	
	Box No. II	Priority	
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability	
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention	
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement	
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited	
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application	
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application	
4.	The International Bureau will cont, except where the applicant date (Rule 44bis .2).	communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority	

Date of issuance of this report
09 January 2006 (09.01.2006)

The International Bureau of WIPO
34, chemin des Colombettes
1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

Facsimile No. +41 22 740 14 35

Date of issuance of this report
09 January 2006 (09.01.2006)

Authorized officer

Ellen Moyse
Telephone No. +41 22 338 89 75

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

rom the	HTHORITY		REC'I	0 16 DEC 2004
To:	UINUNII		PCIVIP	O PCT
see form PCT/ISA/2	20 20/1	INTERNATION (I	TEN OPINION NAL SEARCHIN PCT Rule 43bis e form PCT/ISA/210 (se	NG AUTHORITY
Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220		FOR FURTHER See paragraph 2 belo		
International application No. PCT/EP2004/007329	International filing date (control of the control o	day/month/year)	Priority date (daylm 04.07.2003	onth/year)
Applicant MAX-PLANCK-GESELLSCHAFT ZUR FÖRDERUNG DER 1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. Basis of the opinion				
submit to the IPEA a written months from the date of a whichever expires later. For further options, see F	ten reply together, where app mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220			
Name and mailing address of the	ISA:	Authorized Officer		Maches Poloster.



European Patent Office - Gitschiner Str. 103 D-10958 Berlin Tel. +49 30 25901 - 0 Fax: +49 30 25901 - 840

Schönwasser, D

Telephone No. +49 30 25901-318



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/007329

	Box No	. I Basis of the opinion
i .	With re	gard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in guage in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	lan (ur	is opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following guage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search der Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.	With re	gard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and ary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. type	of material:
	Ø	a sequence listing
		table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. form	at of material:
	M	in written format
		in computer readable form
	c. time	of filing/furnishing:
	5	contained in the international application as filed.
	©	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3	h	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating theretons been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional opies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as peropriate, were furnished.
4	4. Addıtı	onal comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/007329

Box No. III Non-establishment of applicability	opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial			
	nvention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non ble have not been examined in respect of:			
☐ the entire international application	the entire international application,			
☑ claims Nos. 1-30 (all partially)	claims Nos. 1-30 (all partially)			
because:	ecause:			
the said international application does not require an international	l the said international application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):			
—	i vicinal as drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. 1-30 (all			
see separate sheet				
meaningful opinion could be to	the claims, or said claims Nos. 1-30 (all partially) are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.			
no international search report h (all partially)	no international search report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Nos. 1-30			
the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Anti- C of the Administrative Instructions in that:				
the written form	☐ has not been furnished			
	does not comply with the standard			
the computer readable form	☐ has not been furnished			
	does not comply with the standard			
the tables related to the nucleon not comply with the technical of	and the standard to the publication and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do			
☐ See separate sheet for further	r details			

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

1-9,30 Yes: Claims Novelty (N) 10-29 Claims No: 1-9 30 Yes: Claims Inventive step (IS) 10-29 Claims No: 1-29 Yes: Claims Industrial applicability (IA) Claims No:

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

Re Item III

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

- 1. Present claims 1,10-12,28 and 30 relate to inhibitors of an extremely large number of possible receptor tyrosine kinase ligands. In fact, the claims contain so many options, that a lack of clarity (and conciseness) within the meaning of Article 6 PCT arises to such an extent as to render a meaningful search of the claims impossible.
 - Further, support within the meaning of Article 6 PCT and disclosure within the meaning of Article 5 PCT is to be found, however, for only a very small proportion of the inhibitors of receptor tyrosine kinase ligands claimed. In the present case, the claims contain so many options, that a lack of clarity (and conciseness) within the meaning of Article 6 PCT arises and the application so lacks disclosure, that a meaningful search over the whole of the claimed scope is impossible.
 - Consequently, the search has been carried out for those parts of the application which do appear to be clear and supported, namely for those parts relating to the inhibitors of those receptor tyrosine kinase ligands, which are specifically mentioned in claim 19 and in the description (page 6, lines 8-14 and lines 28-31 first half).
 - Hence, it is pointed out, that the present Written Opinion only relates to the <u>searched</u> subject-matter of the above mentioned claims.
 - 2. For the assessment of the present claim 30 on the question whether it is industrially applicable, no unified criteria exist in the PCT Contracting States. The patentability can also be dependent upon the formulation of the claim. The EPO, for example, does not recognize as industrially applicable the subject-matter of claims to the use of a compound in medical treatment, but may allow, however, claims to a known compound for first use in medical treatment and the use of such a compound for the manufacture of a medicament for a new medical treatment.

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Reference is made to the following documents:

- D1: FR2828104 (CT HOSPITALIER UNIVERSITAIRE D E MONTPELLIER) 07-02-2003& WO03013485 (CT HOSPITALIER UNIVERSITAIRE D E MONTPELLIER) 20-02-2003
- D2: WO9832748 (HOFFMANN LA ROCHE ; AGOURON PHARMA (US)) 30-07-1998
- D3: WO9416738 (HEKTOEN INST FOR MEDICAL RESEARCH) 04-08-1994
- D4: WO03051825 (EXELIXIS INC.) 26-06-2003
- D5: WO0166557 (HUMAN GENOME SCIENCES INC.) 13-09-2001

1. Novelty and inventive step (Art. 33(2)(3), PCT)

- 1.1 It should be noted that the document indicated in the search report as "PX"-document has not been taken into consideration for the evaluation of novelty and inventive step, because the priority of the present application has been assumed to be valid (see also official Journal EPO, 11/2001, page 539-542, especially item 13).
- 1.2 It is pointed out that the present opinion concerning novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability only refers to subject-matter for which an International Search Report has been established (see item III).
- 1.3 The application relates to the use of an inhibitor of a receptor tyrosin kinase (RTK) ligand, preferably HB-EGF, for the manufacture of a medicament for
 - treating a hyperproliferative disorder, which is at least partially therapy-resistant,
 - treating a hyperproliferative disorder, which is caused by a stress-induced activation of an RTK,
 - increasing the efficiency of therapies against such disorders,
 - increasing the sensitivity of such disorders against irradiation and/or medical treatment,

as well as to a pharmaceutical compositions comprising such inhibitor in combination with a further medicament.

The inhibitor can be e.g. an antibody directed against an RTK ligand, an inhibitor acting on the nucleic acid or protein level or a low-molecular weight inhibitor. Further, the inhibitor can be a direct RTK ligand inhibitor, or an inhibitor of a metalloprotease which is capable of cleaving the RTK ligand.

- 1.4 D1 discloses the use of HB-EGF inhibitors, e.g. heparin, diphtheria toxine, anti-HB-EGF antibodies, for use against hyperproliferative diseases (page 1, lines 1-16; page 2, lines 9-29; page 3, lines 17-23; Example 10). Further the use of a combination of HB-EGF inhibitors and IL-6 inhibitors (e.g. corticoides or monoclonal anti-IL-6 antibodies) is claimed.

 Therefore, subject-matter of claims 10-20,22,26,27 lacks novelty in view of D1 and subject-matter of claims 23-25 lacks inventive step, since it is generally known to the skilled person that the features of claims 23-25, namely inhibitors, which act on the nucleic acid level, are equivalent in their effect to direct RTK ligand inhibitors and can be interchanged where circumstances make it desirable.
- 1.5 D2 claims sulfonamide compounds, which have an inhibitory effect on TNF as well as on matrix metalloprotease (MMP), and their use for manufacturing a medicament for treating hyperproliferative disorders. It is also mentioned that MMP inhibitors inhibit the release of biologically active molecules from cells, like TGF-α, EGF, HB-EGF and thus have a beneficial effect on diseases like cancer (page 1, lines 23; page 3, lines 20-32; claims 78-80). In view of D2, subject-matter of claims 12-16,18-22,26-29 cannot be regarded as novel and no inventive step can be acknowledged for subject-matter of claims 23-25, since the skilled person would regard it as a normal design option to use a specific inhibitor which acts on the nucleic acid level instead of a direct inhibitor.
- 1.6 D3 discloses the use of TGF-α antisense RNA, preferably in combination with EGFR antisense RNA and an EGFR antibody for the manufacture of a medicament for treating hyperproliferative diseases (especially prostate cancer) and compositions comprising such TGF-α inhibitors (page 23, line 19-page 25, line 8; page 28, line 9-page 31, line 28).
 Subject-matter of claims 10-16,18,19 and 22-25 is anticipated by D3 and subject-matter of claims 26 and 27 lacks inventive step, since it is generally known to the skilled person that a specific inhibitor which acts on the nucleic acid level can be exchanged for a direct inhibitor to achieve a given effect.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

PCT/EP2004/007329

- 1.7 D4 discloses the use of ADAM-10 inhibiting compounds for the manufacture of a medicament against hyperproliferative diseases and claims pharmaceutical compositions comprising such inhibitors alone or in combination with other anticancer agents (paragraphs [0009] and [0063]).
- 1.8 D5 claims *inter alia* the use of antagonists to ADAM proteins, like e.g. antibodies or small molecules, for the manufacture of a medicament against hyperproliferative diseases and conditions associated with stress. The combination of such antagonists with other medicaments or chemotherapy or radiation therapy is also suggested (paragraphs [0175]-[0179],[0191],[233],[327], [407],[449],[478],[502]-[504]).
 - In view of D4 and D5, subject-matter of claims 10-19,21,22 and 26-29 lacks novelty and subject-matter of claims 23-25 does not seem to involve an inventive step.
 - 1.9 It is pointed out that the discovery of a novel mechanism cannot confer novelty to second medical use claims, which refer to a known medical use, as is presently claimed in claim 12.

Re Item VIII

Certain observations on the international application

- 2. Clarity of the claims (Art. 6, PCT)
- 2.1 It appears from the description (page 5, line 18-21) that new claim 11 should refer to the use of an "inhibitor of a receptor tyrosine kinase ligand" instead of the use of an "inhibitor of a receptor tyrosine kinase".
- 2.2 Similarly, claim 18 should refer to the "use of any one of claims 1-17..." instead to "method of any one of claims 1-17..."
- 2.3 Further, claim 22 seems to refer to claims "1-21" instead of "1-12".